

Planning Commission Date: June 11, 2003 Item No.

MILPITAS PLANNING COMMISSION AGENDA REPORT

Category:	Public Hearing	Report prepared by:	Troy Fujimoto

Public Hearing: Yes: X No: ____

Notices Mailed On: N/A Published On: 5-31-03 Posted On: N/A

TITLE: AMENDMENT TO THE ZONING ORDINANCE TO CLARIFY

WITH WHICH PRINCIPAL USES ACCESSORY MASSAGE SERVICES ARE ALLOWED IN THE CITY, AND A RELATED

DRAFT NEGATIVE DECLARATION (P-ZT2003-2 & P-

EA2003-6)

Proposal: Proposed Ordinance No. 38.762-zoning code text amendment in

regards to accessory massage uses citywide.

Location: Citywide

RECOMMENDATION: Recommend to Council approval of the Negative Declaration

(EIA NO. P-EA2003-6) and adoption of Ordinance No. 38.762 to

amend the Zoning Ordinance (P-ZT2003-2) for accessory

massage uses.

Applicant: City Initiated

Attachments: Zoning Ordinance sections and CEQA Initial Study and Draft

Negative Declaration

BACKGROUND

The city's massage establishments and practitioners code was adopted in 1972 among other things, this code primarily sets forth a separate Police Department permit process for massage services. Between 1972 and 1995 it was amended twice. In October 1994 in conjunction with the last massage code amendment, the City amended the zoning ordinance in regards to massage establishments in the Highway Services (HS) zoning district to limit the zones in the City where massage services as principal uses could be permitted.

The Municipal Code (Chapter 6 – Massage Establishments and Practitioners) recognizes that massage uses typically occur in conjunction with doctors offices (including but not limited to, surgeons, chiropractors, osteopaths, nurses, physical therapists and acupuncturists, when licensed), personal fitness centers, gymnasiums, athletic facilities and clubs, hospitals, nursing





homes, sanitariums and other healthcare facilities licensed by the State, accredited high schools, junior colleges, colleges and universities in association with coaches, and trainers and trainers of amateurs, semi-professional and professional athletes or athletic teams. These are all principal uses that customarily provide massage as an incidental part of operations. The massage code specifies which massage practitioners must obtain Police Department permit and which are exempt based on which of these primary uses they are associated with. Of particular note, is that the Chapter only exempts from permit requirements massage of the neck, face and/or scalp when associated with barbers, beauticians, cosmetologists, and any other healing art practitioners. No such distinction is made for massage that is performed in conjunction with the other principal uses mentioned.

Recent business license observations regarding accessory massage practitioners has led to initiation of improvements to the city's business license massage permit and zoning review procedures to tighten processes and regulations. An amendment to the massage code is schedules for adoption at the June 17, 2003 City Council meeting. The proposed zoning code amendment more closely aligns the zoning code with the massage code and clearly sets forth what has been interpreted and enforced since 1994. The massage distinction for salons made in the massage code is proposed to be carried into the zoning code in this amendment. Thus, as has been restricted at the zoning review level over the past 9 years, the proposed zoning code amendment could codify salons, barber shops and healing art practitioners as being limited to offering massage of the head, neck and shoulders.

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

An Initial Study and Draft Negative Declaration were prepared for this project. The commenting period began on May 28, 2003 and will close on June 17, 2003. Staff has not received any comments in regards to the proposed zoning code amendments. The proposed zoning code amendments have the following impacts that are considered to be less than significant:

There would be a less than significant impact in regards to public facilities, as a result of the zoning code amendments, as it could impact the Police Department with an increase in massage applications. However, because the amendment is clarifying how the City currently processes massage applications, it will not impact police services. Thus, this can be considered a less than significant impact.

Any additional comments received will be presented at the Planning Commission hearing and any other comments received through June 17, 2003 will be presented at the City Council hearing.

RECOMMENDATION

Close the Public Hearing. Recommend to Council approval of the Negative Declaration (EIA NO. P-EA2003-6) and adoption of Ordinance No. 38.762 to amend the Zoning Ordinance (P-ZT2003-2) for accessory massage uses.

REGULAR

NUMBER:	38.762					
TITLE:		G A NEW SUBSECTION 54.21 TO TITLE XI, CHAPTER AGE SERVICES AS ACCESSORY USES"				
HISTORY:	City of Milpitas on, 2003, adopted (second reading) at a m	first reading) at a meeting of the City Council of the upon motion by Councilmember, and was finally eeting of said Council on, 2003, upon motion by inance was duly passed and ordered published in ollowing vote:				
	AYES:					
	NOES:					
	ABSENT:					
	ABSTAIN:					
ATTEST:		APPROVED:				
Gail Blalock, C	Sity Clerk	Jose Esteves, Mayor				
APPROVED A	S TO FORM:					
Steven T. Matt	as, City Attorney					
ORDAINING (CLAUSE:					
THE CITY CO	UNCIL OF THE CITY OF MILPITA	S DOES ORDAIN AS FOLLOWS:				

Section 1. RECITALS AND FINDINGS

A. Pursuant to Government Code section 65853 and 65854, the Planning Commission of the City of Milpitas held a properly noticed public hearing June 11, 2003 to consider the amendments to Title XI, Chapter 10 of the Milpitas Municipal Code. In accordance with Government Code section 65855, the Planning Commission has rendered a decision in the form of a written recommendation, which was presented to the City Council prior to consideration of this Ordinance.

619268-2

- B. Upon receipt of the Planning Commission's written recommendation, the City Council held a properly noticed public hearing on ________, 2003.
- C. The City Council finds that this Ordinance does not render Title XI, Chapter 10 inconsistent with the City of Milpitas General Plan.

<u>Section 2.</u> Title XI, Chapter 10, Section 54 is hereby amended to add a new subsection 54.21 to read as follows:

"XI-10-54.21 Accessory Uses

In addition to those accessory uses specifically listed in the zoning district sections of this chapter, the following accessory uses shall be allowed:

XI-10-54.21 Massage Services

Massage services may be allowed as an accessory use to any permitted or conditionally permitted medical office, medical clinic, chiropractor practice, acupuncture practice, physical therapist, fitness and athletic facility, health care facilities (such as hospitals, nursing homes and sanitariums), and accredited school, college, and university. Massage services, limited to massage of the head, neck and shoulders, may be allowed as an accessory use to any permitted or conditionally permitted beauty salon, barbershop, and healing art practices. This section shall not exempt any person or business from complying with all the provisions of Title III, Chapter 6."

<u>Section 3.</u> The Table of Contents of Title XI Chapter (Planning, Zoning and Annexation of the City of Milpitas is hereby *amended* to reflect the new subsections as follows:

Zoning Ordinance Table of Contents

XI-10-1	The Zoning Plan
XI-10-2	Definitions
XI-10-3	Zoning Districts
XI-10-4	R1 Single-Family Residence District
XI-10-5	R1X Single-Family Estate District (Repealed)
XI-10-6	R2 One- and Two-Family Residence District
XI-10-7	R3 Multiple-Family Residence District
XI-10-8	R4 Multiple-Family Very High Density District
XI-10-9	AR Agricultural Residence District
XI-10-17	CO Administrative and Professional Office District
XI-10-18	C1 Neighborhood Commercial District
XI-10-19	C2 General Commercial District
XI-10-20	CS Commercial Service District (Repealed)

XI-10-21	HS Highway Service District
XI-10-22	TC Town Center District
XI-10-30	M1 Light Industrial District
XI-10-31	M2 Heavy Industrial District
XI-10-35	MP Industrial Park District
XI-10-38	MXD Mixed Use District
XI-10-39	POS Park and Public Open Space District
XI-10-40	A Agricultural District
XI-10-42	S Combining District (Site and Architectural review or S Zone)
XI-10-43	TOD Transit Oriented Development Combining District
XI-10-44	MHP Mobile Home Park Combining District
XI-10-45	H Hillside Combining District
XI-10-46	OO Gateway Office Overlay Combining District
XI-10-53	Off-Street Parking Regulations
XI-10-54	General Provisions
211 10 51	Conformance with All Sections of Code
	Other Uses Permitted by Commission
	Improvement of Parking Areas, etc.
	Zoning of Annexed Areas
	Height Conformance
	Area Requirements
	Planned Unit Development
	Home Occupation
	Accessory Buildings and Patio Covers
	Geologic Hazard Zones
	Fences
	Homebuyer Awareness of General Plan
•	Exemptions of certain Nonconforming Uses
	Mobile Home Development Standards
	Areas for Collecting and Loading Recyclable Materials
•	Large Family Child Care Homes and Child Care Centers
	School Mitigation Impact Fees
	Adult Business Location Requirements
	Condominium Conversion
	Density Bonus for Affordable Housing Developments
	Accessory Uses
	Second family Units
XI-10-55	Exceptions
XI-10-56	Non-Conforming Buildings and Uses
XI-10-57	Conditional Use Permit
XI-10-58	Variances
XI-10-59	Certificate of Occupancy
XI-10-60	Boundaries of Districts
XI-10-61	Interpretation

XI-10-62	Amendments
XI-10-63	Enforcement and Penalty
XI-10-64	Notice and Appeal
XI-10-65	Procedural Rules for the Conduct of Hearings
Section 4	PUBLICATION AND EFFECTIVE DATE.

This Ordinance shall take effect thirty (30) days after adoption, and prior to the expiration of 15 days from the passage thereof shall be published at least once in the Milpitas Post, a newspaper of general circulation, published and circulated in the City of Milpitas, County of Santa Clara, thenceforth and thereafter the same shall be in full force and effect.

Section 5. SEVERABILITY

In the event any section or portion of this ordinance shall be determined invalid or unconstitutional, such section or portion shall be deemed severable and all other sections or portions hereof shall remain in full force and effect.



CITY OF MILPITAS

Mailing Address: 455 East Calaveras Boulevard, Milpitas, California 95035-5479 • www.ci.milpitas.ca.gov .

Temporary Location: 1210 Great Mall Drive

<u>NEGATIVE DECLARATION</u> ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT (EIA NO. P-EA2003-6)

A NOTICE, PURSUANT TO THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT OF 1970, AS AMENDED (PUBLIC RESOURCES CODE 21,000 ET SEQ.), THAT THE CITY OF MILPITAS WILL NOT HAVE A SIGNIFICANT IMPACT ON THE ENVIRONMENT.

Project Title: Zone Text Amendment No. P-ZT2003-2, (Zoning Ordinance Amendment)

Project Description: The City proposes to amend the Zoning Ordinance to regulate and clarify massage uses in the following zoning districts; CO (Administrative and Professional Office), C1 (Neighborhood Commercial), C2 (General Commercial). HS (Highway Services), TC (Town Center), M1 (Light Industrial), M2 (Heavy Industrial), MP (Industrial Park), and MXD (Mixed Use). The ordinance amendment would clarify that massage uses would be an accessory use to office and professional office uses for doctors, acupuncturists, chiropractors, and the like. The amendment would also clarify that massage would be an accessory use to beauty salons.

Project Location: Citywide (City of Milpitas), County of Santa Clara.

Project Proponent: City of Milpitas, 455 East Calaveras Boulevard, Milpitas, CA 95035.

The City of Milpitas Environmental Impact Committee has reviewed the Environmental Impact Assessment for the above project based on the information contained in the Environmental Information Form and the Initial Study, the Committee finds that the project will have no significant impact upon the environment, as recommended in the EIA.

Copies of the E.I.A. may be obtained at the Milpitas Planning Department, 455 E. Calaveras Boulevard, Milpitas, CA 95035.

Planning Director Maya gar

Planning Director Managar

Project Planner

Approved by the Planning Commissi	on / City	Council		
day of	, 20	003		
Forward to the County Clerk on this	28th	_ day of	May	, 2003



ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT NO: P-EA2003-6

Planning Division

455 E. Calaveras Blvd., Milpitas, CA 95035

(408) 586-3279

FIA No. P-EA2003-6

_	Prepared by: <u>Troy Fujimoto May 27, 2003</u>
	Title: Acting Associate Planner
1.	Project title: Zone Text Amendment No. P-ZT2003-2
2.	Lead Agency Name and Address: City of Milpitas, 455 East Calaveras Boulevard, Milpitas, CA 95035
3.	Project location: Citywide
4.	Project sponsor's name and address: City of Milpitas 455 East Calaveras Boulevard Milpitas, CA 95035 Contact: Troy Fullmoto (408-586-3287)
5.	General plan designation: Citywide General Plan Designations 5. Zoning: Citywide Zoning Districts
6.	Description of project: (Describe the whole action involved, including but not limited to later phases of the project, and any secondary, support, or off-site features necessary for its implementation. Attach additional sheets if necessary.) See Attached
7.	Surrounding land uses and setting: Briefly describe the project's surroundings: See Attached
8.	Other public agencies whose approval is required (e.g., permits, financing approval, or participation agreement.) N/A
	VIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:
The tha	e environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this project, involving at least one impac t is a "Potentially Significant Impact" as indicated by the checklist on the following pages:
	Aesthetics Agriculture Resources Air Quality
	Biological Resources Cultural Resources Geology / Soils
	Hazards & Hazardous Materials Hydrology / Water Quality Land Use / Planning

	Mineral Resources		Noise		Population / Housing
	Public Services		Recreation		Transportation / Traffic
	Utilities / Service Systems		Mandatory Findings of Sign	ificance	
DETE	ERMINATION: (To be completed by the	Lead .	Agency)	•	
On th	ne basis of this initial evaluation:				-
\boxtimes	I find that the proposed project COULD NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be pre			ne enviro	nment, and a
	I find that although the proposed project be a significant effect in this case beca project proponent. A MITIGATED NEC	use re	visions in the project have be	en mad	onment, there will not e by or agreed to by the
	I find that the proposed project MAY has ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT			onment, a	and an
	I find that the proposed project MAY hunless mitigated" impact on the environan earlier document pursuant to applicate measures based on the earlier analysis IMPACT REPORT is required, but it may be a support of the control of the	nment able le s as de	, but at least one effect 1) ha egal standards, and 2) has be escribed on attached sheets.	s been a een addr An EN\	dequately analyzed in essed by mitigation /IRONMENTAL
	I find that although the proposed projet potentially significant effects (a) have DECLARATION pursuant to applicable that earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLA imposed upon the proposed project, responsed.	oeen a e stand RATIC	nalyzed adequately in an ear dards, and (b) have been avo N, including revisions or miti	lier EIR i ided or r	or NEGATIVE nitigated pursuant to
	Date:5/#1105		- And	Planning	DALyd Manager
- A b	rief explanation is required for all answe	rs exce	ept "No Impact" answers that	Project F are adec	Planner quately supported by the

A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a lead agency cites in the parentheses following each question. All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as on-site, cumulative as well as project level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.

				IMPACT			
	WILL THE PROJECT:	Cumulative	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than SignIficant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	Source
l.	AESTHETICS:	-					
a)	Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?					\boxtimes	17, 18
b)	Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?					\boxtimes	17, 18
c)	Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?					\boxtimes	17, 18
d)	Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the areas?						17, 18
IĮ.	AGRICULTURE RESOURCES: In determining whether impacts to agricultural resources are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared by the California Dept. of Conservation as an optional model to use in assessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. Would the project:						
a)	Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?						17,18
b)	Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?					\boxtimes	17,18
c)	Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use?						17,18

				IMPACT			
	WILL THE PROJECT:	Cumulative	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	Source
(† 1	AIR QUALITY: Where available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management or air pollution control district may be relied upon to make the following determinations). Would the project:						
a)	Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?					\boxtimes	18
b)	Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?					\boxtimes	18
c)	Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?						18
d)	Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?					\boxtimes	18
e)	Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?					\boxtimes	18
IV.	BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES: Would the project:						
a)	Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish & Games or U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service?						17
b)	Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish & Games or U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service?						17

				IMPACT			
	WILL THE PROJECT:	Cumulative	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than SignIficant Impact	No Impact	Source
c)	Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?					\boxtimes	17,18
d)	Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?						17,18
e)	Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?					X	18
- f)	Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?					\boxtimes	18
٧.	CULTURAL RESOURCES: Would the project:						
a)	Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in §15064.5?					\boxtimes	18
b)	Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to §15064.5?					\boxtimes	18
c)	Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?					\boxtimes	18
d)	Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?					\boxtimes	18
VI.	GEOLOGY AND SOILS: Would the project:						
a)	Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:					\boxtimes	18

		IMPACT					
	WILL THE PROJECT:	Cumulative	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	Source
i)	Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.						8, 11
ii)	Strong seismic ground shaking?					\boxtimes	18
iii)	Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?					\boxtimes	18
iv)	Landslides?					\boxtimes	18
b)	Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?					\boxtimes	18
c)	Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?						-18
d)	Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property?		-				18
e)	Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?					\boxtimes	18
VII.	HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS:						
a)	Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?					\boxtimes	18
b)	Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?					\boxtimes	18
c)	Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?					\boxtimes	18

	IMPACT					
WILL THE PROJECT:	Cumulative	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	Source
d) Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?						18
e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?	-				\boxtimes	17, 18
f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?					\boxtimes	17, 18
g) Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?		- []				18
h) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?						18
VIII. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY:						
a) Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?					\boxtimes	18
b) Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted?						18
c) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or situation onor off-site?					\boxtimes	18
d) Substantially alter the existing drainage						18

		IMPACT					
A Paris Control of the Control of th	WILL THE PROJECT:	Cumulative	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	Source
	pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding onor off-site?			-			
e>	Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned storm water drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff as it relates to C3 regulations for development?					\boxtimes	18
f)	Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?					\boxtimes	18
g)	Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?					\boxtimes	18
h)	Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?						18,19
i)	Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?					\boxtimes	17, 18
j)	Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?					\boxtimes	18
IX.	LAND USE AND PLANNING:						
a)	Physically divide an established community?					\boxtimes	17,18

		IMPACT					
	WILL THE PROJECT:	Cumulative	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	Source
b)	Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?						17,18
c)	Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan?					\boxtimes	18
Х.	MINERAL RESOURCES:						
a)	Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?					\boxtimes	18
b)	Result in the loss of availability of a locally- important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?					\boxtimes	18
XI.	NOISE:						
a)	Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?					\boxtimes	18
bγ	Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?					\boxtimes	18
c)	A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?						18
d)	A substantial temporary or periodic in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?					\boxtimes	18

	IMPACT					
WILL THE PROJECT:	Cumulative	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	Source
e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?						12,17
f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?					\boxtimes	12,17
XII. POPULATION AND HOUSING:						
a) Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?					\boxtimes	17, 18
b) Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?					\boxtimes	17, 18
c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?					\boxtimes	17, 18
XIII. PUBLIC SERVICES:						
a) Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered government facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:						18
Fire protection? Police protection? Schools?	-					
Parks? Other public facilities?						
XIV. RECREATION:						

				IMPACT			
	WILL THE PROJECT:	Cumulative	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	Source
a)	Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?						18
b)	Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have been an adverse physical effect on the environment?					\boxtimes	8 Pro-1
XV.	TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC: Would the project:						
a)	Cause an increase in traffic which is substantial in relation to the existing traffic load and capacity of the street system (i.e., result in a substantial increase in either the number of Venicle-trips, the volume to capacity ratio on roads, or congestion at intersections)?						17, 18
b)	Exceed, either individually or cumulatively, a level of service standard established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?					\boxtimes	12,17,
(c)	Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?						17, 18
d)	Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?						18
e)	Result in inadequate emergency access?						18
f)	Result in Inadequate parking capacity?					\boxtimes	17,18

			IMPACT					
	WILL THE PROJECT:	Cumulative	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	Source	
g)	Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs supporting alternative transportation (e.g., bus turnouts, bicycle racks)?					\boxtimes	18	
ΧV	i. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS: Would the project:							
a)	Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?					\boxtimes	18	
b)	Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?					\boxtimes	18	
c)	Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?					\boxtimes	18	
d)	Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?					\boxtimes	18	
e)	Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?					\boxtimes	18	
f)	Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?					\boxtimes	18	
g)	Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?					\boxtimes	18	

		IMPACT					
	WILL THE PROJECT:	Cumulative	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than SignIficant Impact	No impact	Source
XVII.	. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE:				-		
	Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or pre-history?						10,18, 19,20, 26
i (() (Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)?						11,12, 17,18, 19,20, 21,22
. 6	Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or Indirectly?					\boxtimes	9,11,12

EIA No. P-EA2003-6

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT SOURCE KEY

1	Washing a second of the L	T	T	. 1 444 . 1 1.	1
⊥ •	Environmental	miormanon	LOLI I	submitted b	v addiicant

- 2. Project plans
- 3. Site Specific Geologic Report submitted by applicant
- 4. Traffic Impact Analysis submitted by applicant
- 5. Acoustical Report submitted by applicant
- 6. Archaeological Reconnaissance Report submitted by applicant
- 7. Other EIA or EIR (appropriate excerpts attached)
- 8. Alquist-Priolo Special Studies Zones Maps
- 9.— BAAQMD Guidelines for Assessing Impacts of Projects and Plans
- 10. Santa Clara Valley Water District
- 11. Milpitas General Plan Map and Text
- 12. Zoning Ordinance and Map
- 13. Aerial Photos
- 14. Register of Cultural Resources in Milpitas
- 15. Inventory of Potential Cultural Resources in Milpitas
- 16. Field Inspection
- 17. Planner's Knowledge of Area
- 18. Experience with other project of this size and nature
- 19. Flood Insurance Rate Map, September 1998
- 20. June 1994 Water Master Plan
- 21. June 1994 Sewer Master Plan
- 22. July 2001, Storm Master Plan
- 23. Milpitas Midtown Specific Plan Map and Text
- 24. Bikeway Master Plan
- 25. Trails Master Plan
- 26. Other_____

CITY OF MILPITAS ZONE TEXT AMENDMENT NO. P-ZT2003-2, ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT (EIA NO. P-EA2003-6) INITIAL STUDY

Project Description

The proposed Zoning Text Amendment No. P-ZT2003-1 (Ordinance No. 38.762), provides clarifications related to massage uses in the City of Milpitas. The zone text amendment will clarify that massage uses will be a an accessory use throughout the City (in all zoning districts) to permitted and conditional permitted uses including medical offices, medical clinics, chiropractic and acupuncture practices, physical therapy, fitness and athletic facilities, health care facilities, beauty salons, and accredited schools, colleges and universities.

Overall the Zone Text Amendments will tighten zoning regulations in regards to massage uses and will clarify how and where they are already being allowed in the City. The proposed amendment will not change where they can or cannot be permitted in the City, thus, it will not bring any new impacts to the City.

Project Location

The proposed Zone Text Amendments would affect all zoning districts throughout the City of Milpitas, (approximately 13.64 square miles) including: CO (Administrative and Professional Office), C1 (Neighborhood Commercial), C2 (General Commercial). HS (Highway Services), TC (Town Center), M1 (Light Industrial), M2 (Heavy Industrial), MP (Industrial Park), MXD (Mixed Use), R1 (Single Family), R2 (One and two-family), R3 (Multiple-family), R4 (Multi-Family Very High), R1-H (Single family hillside), A (Agriculture), and POS (Park/Public open space). The City is generally bounded by the City of San Jose to the east and south, the City of Fremont to the north and County of Santa Clara unincorporated land to the west.

The general makeup of the city includes mostly single-family residential uses in the western half of the City, industrial uses to the south, southwest and northwest and commercial uses to the south and west and interspersed throughout the residential areas. Major thoroughfares include Interstates 680 and 880 running north to south and State Highway 237 running east to west.

Responses Needing Clarification & Responses to Less than Significant Impacts

Listed below are responses to all answers which need clarification or were checked "Less Than Significant" on Part II of this Initial Study. Responses here, are presented in the same order in which they appear on the checklist:

Public Services

Response to Question 13a:

"Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered government facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios,

response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services: Fire protection? Police protection? Schools? Parks? Other public facilities?"

Massage applications require further investigations by the Police Department, as such it could impact police services in other aspects if time is taken for background research for massage applications. However, the proposed ordinance amendment is only clarifying current practices within the City. Massage as an accessory use is already being allowed throughout the City (in all zoning districts) to permitted and conditional permitted uses including medical offices, medical clinics, chiropractic and acupuncture practices, physical therapy, fitness and athletic facilities, health care facilities, beauty salons, and accredited schools, colleges and universities. The ordinance amendment will not make massage uses any more attractive than previous and will not make the procurement of permits any less stringent, as such, it is not expected that the City will get any increase of new applications, thus, this can be considered a less than significant impact.